

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,935	Applicant(s) CANTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner CATHLEEN R. HUTCHINS	Art Unit 3672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) CATHLEEN R. HUTCHINS.

(3) _____.

(2) Jonna Flores.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009

Time: 5:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant's attorney to request authorization to change the terms "it" and "its" to positively recite the terms. For claim 22, "it" will be changed to "the device", for claims 23, 24, and 25, "its" will be changed to "the". Applicant's attorney authorized examiner to change these recitations to correct 35 USC 112 2nd rejections of indefiniteness.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

